WHOIS Privacy (prop-162-v002)

Enhance Privacy of WHOIS by Restricting Contact Information

Problem Summary

- Over 400 organisations have bulk access to APNIC WHOIS data
- Third parties republish contact details online
- Information easily harvested through screen scraping
- Evidence of misuse by organisations not involved in legitimate networking

Objective of Policy Change

- Prevent unnecessary distribution and retention of APNIC member contact information
- Ensure APNIC remains the sole provider of contact details
- Maintain legitimate access for authorised users

Situation in Other Regions

- Many ccTLDs (e.g., Australia, Germany) have implemented WHOIS privacy for domains
- Proposal states "No evidence that other RIRs restrict access to WHOIS contact details"
- Updated information discussed on list: RIPE restricts Contact Information
- Seeking comment from JPNIC on their NIR WHOIS

Proposed Policy Solution

- Remove contact fields (address, phone, fax, email, notify) from public WHOIS
- Restrict access to MyAPNIC and authenticated API users
- Ensure bulk WHOIS consumers remove stored contact data
- Publish a list of authenticated API users and access statistics

Advantages / Disadvantages

- Enhances privacy and data sovereignty
- Reduces nuisance contacts
- Disadvantages: None legitimate access remains via APNIC-controlled WHOIS

Impact on Resource Holders

- No impact on resource holders
- Essential contact data remains available via authenticated APNIC services

References

- https://www.apnic.net/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/prop-162-v002.txt
- https://www.domainregistration.com.au/infocentre/info-privateregistration.php
- https://www.denic.de/en/whats-new/press-releases/article/extensiveinnovations-planned-for-denic-whois-domain-query-proactive-approach-fordata-economy-and/